

LLWSF
4.8.1
12/26/13

Sanga, Ravi

From: Philip Spadaro [pspadaro@intell-group.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 7:49 AM
To: Sanga, Ravi
Cc: Arrigoni, Holly; Chu Rebecca; Ordine, Charles; Blocker, Shawn; Amy Essig Desai; Ryan Barth; Dee Gardner; Jkay@martenlaw.com; Bob Romagnoli; mdyer@JorgensenForge.com; Ernst, William D
Subject: RE: Jorgensen SSP Design Options

Ravi –

Thank you for transmitting this letter. I am following up on two points mentioned in paragraph five. As we discussed briefly at the meeting on 23 December, specification of 40 feet bgs penetration for the sheet pile and specification of perforation of the sheet pile are not necessary measures to ensure success of the wall for intended purposes. In fact, Most sheet pile will penetrate below 40 feet bgs but some may not as specified by the structural engineer for the project. Also, perforation of the sheet pile is not necessary and could lead to failure of the wall system.

If you wish to discuss either of these topics further please let me know.

Best regards.

Philip

From: Sanga, Ravi [<mailto:Sanga.Ravi@epa.gov>]
Sent: Monday, December 23, 2013 10:31 AM
To: mdyer@JorgensenForge.com; Ernst, William D
Cc: Arrigoni, Holly; Chu Rebecca; Ordine, Charles; Blocker, Shawn; Amy Essig Desai; Ryan Barth; Philip Spadaro; Dee Gardner; Jkay@martenlaw.com
Subject: Jorgensen SSP Design Options

Miles and Will – Attached is an official signed letter that was mailed today regarding EPAs direction to Jorgensen Forge and the Boeing Company regarding design options.

Ravi